

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/735,919	Applicant(s) HERRMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner GREG BENZON	Art Unit 2444	

All Participants:

(1) GREG BENZON.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) Otilia Gabor, Reg. No. 60217.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 26, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Bengzon suggested claim language to overcome USC 101 issues and conform with Abstract requirements. Ms. Gabor presented abstract and claim amendments and authorized Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)